

Cadmus Sept invoice – 8/27-9/30

8/29 – Email asking for clarification about category #31 – question list vs topics and

9/11 – call with Cadmus to discuss comment categories

9/22 – deliverables emailed:

three Word files emailed:

- Dewey-Burdock Comment Table 9-22-17 - Letters and Transcripts.docx
- Dewey-Burdock Comment Table 9-22-17 - Mass Mailers.docx
- Dewey-Burdock Mass Mailers Tracked Changes.docx

The first file (“...Letters and Transcripts”) is the main category file. We decided to put the mass mailers in a separate file (“...Mass Mailers”) for ease of use; it contains tracked-changes text, so it’s easy to see what has changed compared to the standard boilerplate text. We excluded any paragraphs that matched the boilerplate exactly (e.g., if a commenter only changed one paragraph in their mass mailer letter, we only included that one paragraph). The third file (“...Tracked Changes”) is a document with the tracked-changes version of all the mass mailers (comment by comment, not subject by subject), in case that’s helpful for you.

In the “Letters and Transcripts” file, there are some yellow-highlighted notes indicating the presence of attachments. In some cases, these are not separate attachments, but images or documents pasted into the comment itself. We flagged them all with the same yellow-highlighted notation to keep things simple.

The new categories (e.g., comments about other government agencies, comments about the Black Hills Army Depot) appear at the end of the file. Note that we added a new category since we spoke last -- #43, for comments about financial responsibility and bond amounts. There were enough of these that we decided to split them out. Also, there were a handful of comments about how the Class III permits should not be issued because there’s not enough uranium present at the Dewey-Burdock site; we filed those under the Class III specific comments category (in #32).

I’m also going to upload our numbered PDFs to the Sharepoint site. These are the same files you provided to us, but they’re renamed with the letter ID numbers. I think having the numbered files might help in your comment-response process.

Here are the narrative portions for the surveillance report:

- I. Technical Quality of Product
The Contractor provided the EPA with three Word documents to fulfil the Task 2 deliverables. These documents were well organized, Each categorized comment identified the source document for each categorized comment, and met the needs of the EPA for categorization of public comments. The documents meet the performance parameters outlined in the work assignment.
- II. Schedule
The Contractor provided the Task 2 deliverables in a timely manner.
Deliverables:
Three Word files:
 - Dewey-Burdock Comment Table 9-22-17 - Letters and Transcripts.docx

- Dewey-Burdock Comment Table 9-22-17 - Mass Mailers.docx
- Dewey-Burdock Mass Mailers Tracked Changes.docx

III. Cost Control

The contractor did not exceed the budget.

IV. Business Relations

The Contractor communicated clearly and appropriately when questions arose. The Contractor was professional and efficient, providing clear explanations regarding the Task 2 deliverables.